



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/825,100	04	/03/2001	Stephen R. Hanna	SMY 248.01 4446	
25181	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY HO			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2131	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/825,100	HANNA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	<i>July 2005</i> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2,4,5,7-12,14,16 and 18-22 is/are pe	ending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,7-12,14,16 and 18-22</u> is/are re	jected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	эе 37 CFR 1.85(а).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applica	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	^r ed.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office I	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) received on July 11, 2005. Claims 2,4-5, 6-12, 14,16, and 18-22 are currently being considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 2 and 14 are dependent on claims 4 and 16, respectively. This is improper because a claim must be dependent on a preceding claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said indication" in the second line of the claim.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claims 7-11 recite the limitation "said resource." The claims 7-11 all are dependent on claim 6, which is cancelled. Therefore, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 5. Claims 2 and 5 recite the limitation "said providing step." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 2,4-5, 6-12, 14,16,18-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gasser et al. (U.S. Patent 5,220,604).

Regarding claim 4, Gasser discloses:

A method for evaluating a set of credentials comprising at least one group credential in response to a request to access a resource, comprising:

ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one of said credentials within said set of credentials including said group credential, wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in information being certified within the respective credential (column 10 lines 7-17, column 10 lines 35-43, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 44), wherein a set of certificates (credentials) are used to verify if a user is a member of a group by analyzing different groups/subgroups, wherein the trust rating is interpreted as the presence or absence of membership in a group;

in the event said set of credentials forms a plurality of certification paths having at least one credential in each of said paths, determining for each of said plurality of certification paths a third trust rating equal to the lowest trust rating in the credentials of the respective certification path (column 8 line 54 – column 9 line 10, column 10 lines

Art Unit: 2131

50-65), wherein the certifying authorities which are nearby the branches of the directory have a higher trust level;

determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating by assigning said second trust rating equal to the highest trust rating of said third trust ratings (column 10 lines 7-17, column 10 lines 35-43, column 10 line 50 – column 11 line 44) wherein the principals' certificates (credentials) are analyzed, and based on the certificate, it is determined if the principals are members of a particular group, and any of the principals containing a valid certificate is granted access which is interpreted as taking the highest trust rating; and

granting access to the resource in the event said second trust rating satisfies predetermined criteria (column 11 lines 1-6).

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 4 wherein said providing step includes the step of comparing said second trust rating to a predetermined trust rating threshold and wherein said predetermined criteria comprises said predetermined trust rating threshold (column 11 lines 1-36), wherein if a principal has a certificate designating the principal as a member of a group, it is allowed access, otherwise it is not.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,100

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 4 wherein said providing step includes the step of comparing said second trust rating to said predetermined criteria (column 11 lines 1-36), wherein if a principal has a certificate designating the principal as a member of a group, it is allowed access, otherwise it is not.

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 6 further including the step of granting access to said resource in response to said indication (column 11 lines 1-6, column 18 lines 18-23).

Claim 8 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a file on a file server (column 17 lines 47-67, column 18 lines 18-23), wherein the object/resource can be any request that can be implemented which can include making requests of a file service.

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/825,100

Art Unit: 2131

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a web page (column 17 lines 47-67, column 18 lines 18-23), wherein the object/resource can be any request that can be implemented using an access list, which is known to include web pages.

Claim 10 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a secure area (column 17 lines 47-67, column 18 lines 18-23), wherein the object/resource can be any request that can be implemented using an access list.

Claim 11 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a database (column 17 lines 47-67, column 18 lines 18-23), wherein the object/resource can be any request that can be implemented which can include a database.

Claim 12 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Furthermore, Gasser discloses:

The method of claim 4 wherein said ascertaining step further includes the step of obtaining an identity credential trust rating associated with an identity credential within said credential path (column 10 lines 7-17, column 10 lines 35-43, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 44), wherein a set of certificates (credentials) are used to verify if a user

is a member of a group by analyzing different groups/subgroups to determine their identity and membership in a particular group.

- 7. Claims 14 and 16 are system claims analogous to the method claims 2,4-5, 7-12 rejected above, and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.
- 8. Claim 18 is a computer program product claim analogous to the method claims 2,4-5, and 7-12 rejected above, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.
- 9. Claim 19 is a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave (see 101 rejection) analogous to the method claims 2,4-5, and 7-12 rejected above, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.
- 10. Claim 20 is a system claim analogous to the method claims 2,4-5, and 7-12 rejected above, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.
- 11. Claims 21-22 are method claims analogous to the method claims 2,4-5 and 7-12 rejected above, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 703-305-8892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 09/26/2005 Primary Examiner AU213)